

Deconfined non-abelian anyons from quantum loops and nets

Paul Fendley

It has proved to be quite tricky to find a non-abelian extension of the toric code, i.e. find T -invariant spin models whose quasiparticles are non-abelian anyons.

Here I'll describe the simplest (so far!) such models with **non-abelian topological order** in the ground state.

They

1. require only **interactions around a face** (e.g. four-spin interactions on the square lattice)
2. are naturally expressed in terms of loops **and** nets simultaneously
3. possess “**quantum self-duality**”

Outline:

1. Quantum loops
2. Crashing the $d = \sqrt{2}$ barrier
3. Quantum nets
4. Quantum self-duality

To appear “next week”

Essential ingredients:

Coupled Potts models: with J. Jacobsen

The Temperley-Lieb algebra and the chromatic polynomial: with V. Krushkal

Quantum Potts nets: with E. Fradkin

The Potts model and the BMW algebra: with N. Read

Why quantum loops?

A convenient way of describing non-abelian anyons is in terms of their **worldlines**.


Then their statistics is the behavior of the wavefunction under **braiding** of the worldlines.

Braiding is a purely **topological** property, and so if realizable, might prove the basis for a **fault-tolerant quantum computer**.

It is convenient to **project** the world line of the particles onto the plane. Then the braids become **overcrossings** and **undercrossings**



The braids must satisfy the consistency condition



which in closely related contexts is called the Yang-Baxter equation.

A simple way of satisfying the consistency conditions leads to the **Jones polynomial** in knot theory. Replace the braid with the **linear combination**

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} = q^{-1/2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} - q^{1/2} \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \smile \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} = q^{1/2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} - q^{-1/2} \begin{array}{c} \frown \\ \smile \end{array}$$

so that the lines no longer cross. q is a parameter which is a root of unity in the cases of interest: Fibonacci anyons corresponds to $q = e^{i\pi/5}$.

This gives a representation of the braid group if the resulting loops satisfy d -isotopy.

- $isotopy$: Configurations related by deforming without making any lines cross receive the same weight.


- d : A configuration with a closed loop receives weight

$$d = q + q^{-1}$$

relative to the configuration without the loop.

d is the **quantum dimension** of the anyon. The dimension of the \mathcal{N} -anyon Hilbert space grows as $d^{\mathcal{N}}$; think of it as the number of anyons created and annihilated in the loop.

If you like algebras, the proper framework to analyze this is the **Temperley-Lieb algebra**, which graphically is




The task is now to **find a lattice model** whose quasiparticles have such braiding.

The clever idea of the the **quantum loop model** is to **use these pictures** to build the model:

1. find a 2d **classical loop model** which has a critical point
2. use each loop configuration as a **basis element** of the quantum Hilbert space
3. find a Hamiltonian whose ground state a sum over loop configurations with the appropriate weighting, so that
4. if you “cut” a loop, you end up with two deconfined anyonic excitations

Kitaev; Moessner and Sondhi; Freedman

In quantum loop models, each loop in the ground state gets a weight d ($= \tau$ for Fibonacci)




i.e. the ground state $|\Psi\rangle$ is the **sum over all loop configurations**

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} d^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} |\mathcal{L}\rangle$$

where $n_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the number of loops in configuration \mathcal{L} .

The excitations with non-abelian braiding are **defects** in the sea of loops.



When the defects are **deconfined**, they will braid with each other like the loops in the ground state.

When

$$d = 2 \cos[\pi/(k + 2)] \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q = e^{i\pi/(k+2)},$$

these are the statistics of Wilson loops in $SU(2)_k$ Chern-Simons theory

Witten; Freedman, Nayak, Shtengel, Walker, and Wang

To have non-abelian braiding, the quantum loop models need to be **gapped** and have **topological order**.

However, for this all to work, the classical loop model needs to have a **critical point**.

In a little more detail...

The classical models being discussed have partition functions of the form

$$Z = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} w(\mathcal{L}) K^{L(\mathcal{L})}$$

where

$w(\mathcal{L})$ is the **topological weight** of configuration \mathcal{L} ,

$L(\mathcal{L})$ is the length of all the loops in \mathcal{L} ,


K is the weight per unit length

For closed loops which do not touch or cross, we have

$$w(\mathcal{L}) = N^{n_{\mathcal{L}}}$$

for some parameter N . This is usually called the $O(N)$ loop model.

For the $O(N)$ loop model in two dimensions, the phase diagram is



Typically, a critical point can occur when $K \approx 1$ (for the honeycomb lattice, the dilute-dense critical line occurs at $K = K_c = [2 + \sqrt{2 - N}]^{-1/2}$). The dense critical line is stable throughout the shaded region.

For $N > 2$, the model is not critical for any K – the partition function is dominated by short loops and so is not scale-invariant.

Important point:

At a critical point, loops of all sizes contribute to the partition function in the long-distance limit. This behavior is necessary to get topological order – otherwise a length scale appears.

This length scale physically is the confinement length.

Thus to build a quantum loop model from the classical $O(N)$ loop model, we must have $N \leq 2$.

In the wave function, each loop has weight $d = q + q^{-1}$. When q is a root of unity, $d \leq 2$.

However: This is quantum mechanics!

In any correlation function, each configuration is weighted by the probability amplitude squared. Thus with the naive inner product that all loop configurations are orthonormal,

$$N = d^2$$

We must have $d \leq \sqrt{2}$ for this construction to work!

Fibonacci anyons have $d = \tau = 2 \cos(\pi/5) > \sqrt{2}$.

There are two ways of **crashing through the $d = \sqrt{2}$ barrier** to find quantum loop models whose **deconfined** excitations are Fibonacci anyons:

- Allow the loops to **branch**, so that they are not really loops, but rather **nets**.
- Change the inner product in the quantum-mechanical model.

It turns out that the two are essentially the **same!**

In the **completely packed loop model**, every link of the lattice is covered by a loop.

The only degrees of freedom are therefore the two choices of how the loops avoid each other at each vertex:



There is thus a **quantum two-state system** at every **vertex**.

If we set $\langle 1|\widehat{1}\rangle = 0$, then we have the $d = \sqrt{2}$ barrier.

So instead, **don't make them orthogonal!**

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|1\rangle & \langle 1|\widehat{1}\rangle \\ \langle \widehat{1}|1\rangle & \langle \widehat{1}|\widehat{1}\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For this to be positive definite, $|\lambda| < 1$.

Keep the ground state

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} d^{n_{\mathcal{L}}} |\mathcal{L}\rangle$$

so that now


$$\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{L}} \sum_{\mathcal{M}} d^{(n_{\mathcal{L}}+n_{\mathcal{M}})/2} \lambda^{n_X}$$

is a sum over two flavors of loops \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} , which are different at n_X vertices.

Good news #1:

The corresponding classical loop model with $d = 2 \cos(\pi/(k+2))$ is **critical** when $\lambda < \lambda_c$, where

$$\lambda_c = -\sqrt{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi(k-2)}{4(k+2)}\right)$$



Fendley and Jacobsen


The ground state of the quantum model therefore is a sum over **loops of all length scales**.

The excitations should be **deconfined**!

Good news #2:

This inner product has nice topological properties.

Consider two four-anyon states with inner products:



$|\eta\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle$ are topologically equivalent to $|1\rangle$ and $|\hat{1}\rangle$, and $\langle \chi | \eta \rangle$ is topologically equivalent to a single loop. Thus we indeed want $\langle \hat{1} | 1 \rangle \neq 0$.

In fact, maybe

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \frac{\langle \hat{1}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle 1|1\rangle \langle \hat{1}|\hat{1}\rangle}} = \frac{\langle \chi|\eta\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi|\chi\rangle \langle \eta|\eta\rangle}} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{d}\end{aligned}$$

???

Good news #1 means we should choose λ negative.

Setting $\lambda = -1/d$ leads to...

Good news #3:

Loops are nets!

Two natural orthonormal bases:

- $(|0\rangle, |1\rangle)$, where

$$|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^2 - 1}} \left(d|\hat{1}\rangle + |1\rangle \right)$$

- $(|\hat{0}\rangle, |\hat{1}\rangle)$, where

$$|\hat{0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^2 - 1}} \left(d|1\rangle + |\hat{1}\rangle \right)$$

This indeed yields $\langle 0|1\rangle = \langle \hat{0}|\hat{1}\rangle = 0$ and $\langle 1|1\rangle = \langle \hat{1}|\hat{1}\rangle = 1$.

The unitary transformation relating the two bases is

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{0}|0\rangle & \langle \hat{0}|1\rangle \\ \langle \hat{1}|0\rangle & \langle \hat{1}|1\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{d} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d^2 - 1} \\ \sqrt{d^2 - 1} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This F is the **fusion matrix** for anyons from quantum loops!


$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \end{array} = F_{11} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \end{array} + F_{10} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \end{array} = F_{01} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \end{array} + F_{00} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

When lines meet at a vertex, they **fuse** to one of two states:

$$\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = 0 \oplus 1$$




This suggests that we represent the state $|1\rangle$ as a filled link on the **net lattice**, e.g. if all vertices are in state $|1\rangle$:



Vertices of the loop lattice correspond to **edges** of the net lattice, so loops on Kagome correspond to nets on the honeycomb.

I call these **nets** because when the ground state $|\Psi\rangle$ is written in this orthonormal basis, there cannot be a single state $|1\rangle$ touching a vertex!

States which do contribute to $|\Psi\rangle$ look like




The weight of each loop configuration in the ground state is still $d^{n_{\mathcal{L}}}$.

Going to the orthonormal basis gives the weight of each net $|N\rangle$ to be

$$\langle N|\Psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^2 - 1}}\right)^{L_N} \chi_{\hat{N}}(d^2)$$

where $\chi_{\hat{N}}(d^2)$ is the **chromatic polynomial**, and L_N is the length of the net (the number of links covered).

The chromatic polynomial only depends on the topology of N . When Q is an integer, $\chi(Q)$ is the number of ways of coloring each region with Q colors such that **adjacent** regions have different colors.



Classically, think of these loops as domain walls in the **low-temperature expansion** of the **Q -state Potts model**.


Good news #4:

Quantum self-duality means that on the square lattice, **only four-spin interactions** are required in the Hamiltonian!

In Levin and Wen's exactly solvable "string-net" models, 12-spin interactions are required.

Instead of writing the ground state $|\Psi\rangle$ in terms of nets, can also write them in terms of **dual nets** $|D\rangle$, in the $(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ basis.

The dual nets live on the links of the dual of the net lattice, e.g. for loops on Kagomé when all vertices are in state $|\hat{1}\rangle$:



The weight of each dual net $|D\rangle$ in the ground state is

$$\langle D|\Psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^2-1}}\right)^{L_D} \chi_{\hat{D}}(d^2)$$

This is the **same ground state** $|\Psi\rangle$ in a new basis!

This **quantum self-duality** is highly non-obvious, and extremely useful.

A Hamiltonian H with Ψ a ground state can be found simply by demanding that H annihilate all states which are not nets and annihilate all states which are not dual nets.

For the square lattice:

$$H = \sum_{\square} [P_1 P_0 P_0 P_0 + \text{rotations}] + \sum_{\square} [P_{\hat{1}} P_{\hat{0}} P_{\hat{0}} P_{\hat{0}} + \text{rotations}]$$

where P_i projects onto the states $|i\rangle$, and $P_{\hat{i}} = F P_i F$.

This is very much a non-abelian version of Kitaev's toric code.

Conclusions

- With the right inner product, we can crash the $d = \sqrt{2}$ barrier and find T -invariant lattice models with e.g. Fibonacci anyons.
- With the right inner product, loops and nets are equivalent.
- With the right inner product, the models exhibit quantum self-duality. The Hamiltonian needs involve only four-spin interactions.
- However, because $d > 1$, here the ground state should support **non-abelian anyons!**
- Pound your head on the wall enough, and sometimes the wall cracks before your head...